

| | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/940,165 | Applicant(s) MACLEAN, DAVID B. |
| | Examiner San-ming Hui | Art Unit 1617 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) San-ming Hui. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Tood Crissey, attorney of record. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Crissey informed the examiner that no reply was filed in response to the outstanding office action mailed November 17, 2004

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 10/1/05
(Examiner/SPE/Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)